

# N-LIE BIALGEBRAS

RUIPU BAI, WEIWEI GUO, LIXIN LIN, AND YAN ZHANG

**ABSTRACT.** The  $n$ -Lie bialgebras are studied. In Section 2, the  $n$ -Lie coalgebra with rank  $r$  is defined, and the structure of it is discussed. In Section 3, the  $n$ -Lie bialgebra is introduced. A triple  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra if and only if  $\Delta$  is a conformal 1-cocycle on the  $n$ -Lie algebra  $L$  associated to  $L$ -modules  $(L^{\otimes n}, \rho_s^\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , and the structure of  $n$ -Lie bialgebras is investigated by the structural constants. In Section 4, two-dimensional extension of finite dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebras are studied. For an  $m$  dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebra  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$ , and an  $ad_\mu$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form on  $L$ , the  $m + 2$  dimensional  $(n + 1)$ -Lie bialgebra is constructed. In the last section, the bialgebra structure on the finite dimensional simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_n$  is discussed. It is proved that only bialgebra structures on the simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_n$  are rank zero, and rank two.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a given algebraic structure determined by a set of multiplications and a set of relations among the operations, a bialgebra structure on this algebra is obtained by a corresponding set of comultiplications together with a set of compatibility conditions between the multiplications and comultiplications [7, 10]. A Lie bialgebra [8] consists of a Lie algebra  $(L, [, ])$  and a Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta)$ , where  $[, ] : L \wedge L \rightarrow L$  is a Lie bracket,  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L \wedge L$  is a Lie comultiplication, and the compatibility condition between the Lie bracket  $[, ]$  and the Lie comultiplication  $\Delta$  is

$$\Delta([x, y]) = (adx \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes adx)\Delta(y) - (ady \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes ady)\Delta(x), \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

We know that Lie bialgebra is very important, one reason for it is the Lie bialgebra has a coboundary theory, which leads to that the construction of Lie bialgebras has close relation with the solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation.

In paper [1], authors studied local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebras  $(A, \mu, \Delta)$ , and defined 3-Lie classical Yang-Baxter equation (3-Lie CYBE)

$$[[r, r, r]] = 0, \quad r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in A \otimes A.$$

From a solution  $r \in A \otimes A$ , a class of local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebras can be constructed.

Let  $r = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in A \otimes A$  be a solution of 3-Lie classical Yang-Baxter equation associated to 3-Lie algebra  $(A, \mu)$ , then  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is a local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebra, where  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A \otimes A$  defined by for all  $x \in A$ ,

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta_1(x) + \Delta_2(x) + \Delta_3(x),$$

where  $\Delta_1(x) = \sum_{ij} ([x, x_i, x_j] \otimes y_j \otimes y_i)$ ,  $\Delta_2(x) = \sum_{ij} y_i \otimes [x, x_i, x_j] \otimes y_j$ ,  $\Delta_3(x) = \sum_{ij} y_j \otimes y_i \otimes [x, x_i, x_j]$ .

---

*Date:* July 28, 2016.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B05, 17D99.

*Key words and phrases.*  $n$ -Lie algebra,  $n$ -Lie bialgebra,  $n$ -Lie coalgebra, two-dimensional extension.

Also Manin triples and matched pairs of 3-Lie algebras and double construction 3-Lie bialgebras are introduced in [1]. It is proved that the double construction 3-Lie bialgebras can be regarded as a special class of local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebras.

In paper [2], the 3-Lie bialgebra  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is discussed, the structure of it is different to the local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebras introduced in paper [1], it is a special class of local cocycle 3-Lie bialgebras in the case  $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$ . In [2], structures of 3-Lie bialgebras are described by the structural constants, and the complete classification of 3-dimensional 3-Lie bialgebras are provided.

In this paper, we study the finite dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebras over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic zero. In section 2, we discuss the finite dimensional  $n$ -Lie coalgebras. In section 3, we study the structure of finite dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebras. In section 4, we investigate the two dimensional extension of finite dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebras. In section 5, we study  $n$ -Lie bialgebra structure on the simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_n$  over the field of complex numbers.

In the paper, we suppose that  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field of characteristic zero,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers, and  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all positive integers.

For  $i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the determinant  $\begin{vmatrix} \delta_{i_1 j_1} & \cdots & \delta_{i_n j_1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \delta_{i_1 j_n} & \cdots & \delta_{i_n j_n} \end{vmatrix}$  is simply denoted by  $\frac{i_1 \cdots i_n}{j_1 \cdots j_n}$ ,

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecher symbol.

Let  $V$  be a vector space,  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ . For all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V^*$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ ,

$$\langle x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \rangle = \langle x_1, v_1 \rangle \cdots \langle x_n, v_n \rangle,$$

where  $\langle x_i, v_i \rangle = x_i(v_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

For a vector space  $V$  and  $s, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the  $(2n-1)$ -ary linear map  $\omega_s : V^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$  is defined as follows, for all  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u_1, \dots, u_n \in V$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in V^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \langle x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n, \omega_s(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n-1} \otimes u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega_s^*(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n), v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n-1} \otimes u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n \rangle \\ &= \langle y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{y_s} \otimes \cdots \otimes y_n \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes y_s, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n-1} \otimes u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ , and  $\omega_s^* : V^{*\otimes n-1} \otimes V^{*\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{*\otimes n-1} \otimes V^{*\otimes n}$  is the dual mapping of  $\omega_s$ .

## 2. N-LIE COALGEBRA

**An  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$**  [6] is a vector space  $L$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  endowed with an  $n$ -multilinear multiplication  $\mu : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$  satisfying that for all  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n} \in L$ ,

$$(2) \quad \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{sign}(\sigma) \mu(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}),$$

$$(3) \quad \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}, \mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n})) = \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_s}}, \dots, x_{j_n}, \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}, x_{j_s})),$$

where  $\sigma \in S_n$  and the symbol  $\widehat{x_{j_s}}$  means that  $x_{j_s}$  is omitted. In the case  $n \geq 3$ , the identity Eq.(3) is usually called  $n$ -Jacobi identity, or Filipov identity.

The Eq.(2) and Eq.(3) can be respectively described as follows

$$(4) \quad \mu(1 - \tau) = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad \mu(\underbrace{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{n-1} \otimes \mu)(1 - (-1)^{n-s} \sum_{s=1}^n \omega_s) = 0,$$

where 1 is identity,  $\omega_s$  is defined as Eq.(1), for  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , and  $\tau : L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow L^{\otimes n}$  is defined as for all  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} \in L$ ,

$$\tau(x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_n}) = \text{sign}(\sigma) x_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes x_{i_{\sigma(2)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{\sigma(n)}}, \forall \sigma \in S_n.$$

We give the definition of  $n$ -Lie coalgebras.

**Definition 2.1.** An  $n$ -Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta)$  is a vector space  $L$  with a linear mapping  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L^{\wedge n}$  satisfying that

$$(6) \quad (1 - \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \omega_s)(\underbrace{1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{n-1} \otimes \Delta)\Delta = 0,$$

where 1 is identity, and  $\omega_s$  is defined as Eq.(1) for  $1 \leq s \leq n$ .

In Eq.(6), the linear mapping  $1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta : L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow L^{\otimes 2n-1}$  satisfies that, for all  $x_k \in L$  and  $x^{l_1}, \dots, x^{l_{n-1}}, x^{s_1}, \dots, x^{s_n} \in L^*$ ,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}, (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta)(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}, x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1} \otimes \Delta(x_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes \Delta^*(x^{s_1}, \dots, x^{s_n}), x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For describing the structure of  $n$ -Lie coalgebras, we need structural constants of  $n$ -Lie algebras.

Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie algebra with a basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ . Suppose

$$(8) \quad \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \sum_{k=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^k x_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m.$$

By Eq.(4),  $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^k$  satisfies that

$$(9) \quad c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^k = \text{sign}(\sigma) c_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_{\sigma(2)} \dots i_{\sigma(n)}}^k, \quad \forall \sigma \in S_n, \quad 1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \leq m.$$

For all  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}, \mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n})) = \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}, \sum_{t=1}^m c_{j_1 \dots j_n}^t x_t) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^m c_{j_1 \dots j_n}^t c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} t}^k x_k, \\ & \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_s}}, \dots, x_{j_n}, \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}}, x_{j_s})) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_s}}, \dots, x_{j_n}, \sum_{t=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_s}^t x_t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_s}^t \mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_s}}, \dots, x_{j_n}, x_t) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_s}^t c_{j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_{s+1} \dots j_n}^k x_k,
\end{aligned}$$

by Eq.(3), we obtain that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{t=1}^m c_{j_1 \dots j_n}^t c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} t}^k - \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_s}^t c_{j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_{s+1} \dots j_n}^k = 0.$$

This shows the following result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $L$  be a vector space with a basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ , the  $n$ -ary linear multiplication  $\mu : L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow L$  be defined as Eq.(8). Then  $(L, \mu)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra if and only  $\mu$  satisfies Eq.(9) and Eq.(10).*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $L$  be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L^{\otimes n}$  be a linear map. Then  $(L, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra if and only if  $(L^*, \Delta^*)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $L^*$  be the dual space of  $L$ ,  $x^1, \dots, x^m$  be the dual basis of  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ , that is,  $\langle x_i, x^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Let  $\Delta^* : L^{\otimes n} \rightarrow L^*$  be the dual mapping of  $\Delta$ , that is, for all  $y^1, \dots, y^n \in L^*$ , and  $x \in L$ ,

$$(11) \quad \langle y^1 \otimes \dots \otimes y^n, \Delta(x) \rangle = \langle \Delta^*(y^1, \dots, y^n), x \rangle.$$

Suppose

$$\Delta^*(x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_n}) = \sum_{k=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^k x^k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m.$$

and

$$(12) \quad \Delta(x_k) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_n}, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Then for all  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$$\langle \Delta^*(x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_n}), x_j \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^k x^k, x_j \right\rangle = c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \Delta^*(x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_n}), x_j \rangle = \langle x^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{i_n}, \Delta(x_j) \rangle \\
&= \langle x^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{i_n}, \sum_{j_1 \dots j_n} a_j^{j_1 \dots j_n} (x_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{j_n}) \rangle = a_j^{i_1 \dots i_n},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$(13) \quad a_k^{i_1 \dots i_n} = c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^k, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Therefore, the result holds. □

In fact, if  $(L, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra, by Eq.(13) and Eq.(6), for all  $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_{n-1} \leq m$  and  $1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_n \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}, (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta) \Delta(x_k) \rangle \\
&= \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}, (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta) \left( \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_n} \right) \rangle \\
&= \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes \Delta^*(x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}), \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_n} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} \sum_{t=1}^m c_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^t \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^t, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_n} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^m c_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^t \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} \frac{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} t}{i_1 \cdots i_n} = \sum_{t=1}^m c_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^t a_k^{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} t} = \sum_{t=1}^m c_{s_1 \cdots s_n}^t c_{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} t}^k \\
&= \langle x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}, \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} \omega_r (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta) \Delta(x_k) \rangle \\
&= \langle \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} \omega_r^* (x^{l_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{l_{n-1}} \otimes x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n}), (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta) \Delta(x_k) \rangle \\
&= \langle \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} (x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{x^{s_r}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n} \otimes \Delta^*(x^{l_1}, \dots, x^{l_{n-1}}, x^{s_r}), \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_n} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} \sum_{t=1}^m c_{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} s_r}^t \langle x^{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{x^{s_r}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{s_n} \otimes x^t, x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_n} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} a_k^{i_1 \cdots i_n} c_{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} s_r}^t \frac{s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} s_{r+1} \cdots s_n t}{i_1 \cdots i_n} \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} a_k^{s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} s_{r+1} \cdots s_n t} c_{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} s_r}^t \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{n-r} c_k^{s_1 \cdots s_{r-1} s_{r+1} \cdots s_n t} c_{l_1 \cdots l_{n-1} s_r}^t.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(L^*, \Delta^*)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra.

Let  $(L, \Delta)$  be an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra. If the dimension of the derived algebra  $L^{*1}$  of  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L^*, \Delta^*)$  is  $r$ , then  $n$ -Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta)$  is referred to as **an rank  $r$ , and is denoted by  $R(\Delta) = r$** .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  and  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$  be  $n$ -Lie coalgebras. If there is a linear isomorphism  $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  satisfying that, for all  $x \in L_1$ ,

$$(14) \quad \underbrace{(\varphi \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi)}_n (\Delta_1(x)) = \Delta_2(\varphi(x)),$$

then  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  is isomorphic to  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$ , and  $\varphi$  is called an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra isomorphism, where

$$\underbrace{(\varphi \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi)}_n \sum_i (x_{1_i} \otimes x_{2_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n_i}) = \sum_i \varphi(x_{1_i}) \otimes \varphi(x_{2_i}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(x_{n_i}).$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  and  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$  be  $n$ -Lie coalgebras. Then  $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra isomorphism from  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  to  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$  if and only if the dual mapping  $\varphi^* : L_2^* \rightarrow L_1^*$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra isomorphism from  $(L_2^*, \Delta_2^*)$  to  $(L_1^*, \Delta_1^*)$ , where for  $\xi \in L_2^*$ ,  $v \in L_1$ ,  $\langle \varphi^*(\xi), v \rangle = \langle \xi, \varphi(v) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(L_1, \Delta_1)$  and  $(L_2, \Delta_2)$  are  $n$ -Lie coalgebras, thanks to Theorem 2.3  $(L_1^*, \Delta_1^*)$  and  $(L_2^*, \Delta_2^*)$  are  $n$ -Lie algebras. If  $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra isomorphism, then the dual mapping  $\varphi^* : L_2^* \rightarrow L_1^*$  is a linear isomorphism, and for all  $f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_n} \in L_2^*$ ,  $x \in L_1$ , by Eqs. (4) and (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \varphi^* \Delta_2^*(f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_n}), x \rangle = \langle \Delta_2^*(f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_n}), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle f_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{k_n}, \Delta_2(\varphi(x)) \rangle \\
& = \langle f_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{k_n}, \underbrace{(\varphi \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi)}_n(\Delta_1(x)) \rangle = \langle \varphi^*(f_{k_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi^*(f_{k_n}), \Delta_1(x) \rangle \\
& = \langle \Delta_1^*(\varphi^*(f_{k_1}), \varphi^*(f_{k_2}), \dots, \varphi^*(f_{k_n})), x \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\varphi^* \Delta_2^*(f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_n}) = \Delta_1^*(\varphi^*(f_{k_1}), \varphi^*(f_{k_2}), \dots, \varphi^*(f_{k_n}))$ , that is,  $\varphi^* : L_2^* \rightarrow L_1^*$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra isomorphism from  $(L_2^*, \Delta_2^*)$  to  $(L_1^*, \Delta_1^*)$ .

Similar discussion, we obtain the conversion. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Example 2.6.** Now we give an example of 3-Lie coalgebra. Let  $V = M(m, \mathbb{F})$  be a vector space of  $(m \times m)$ -order matrices over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then  $V$  has a basis

$$\{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\} \cup \{E_{jj} - E_{j+1, j+1}, E = \sum_{i=1}^m E_{ii} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m-1\},$$

where  $E_{ij}$  is matrix unit. Define linear mapping  $\Delta : V \rightarrow V^{\wedge 3}$ , by

$$\Delta(E_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^m E_{kk} \wedge E_{ik} \wedge E_{kj}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m, \quad \Delta(E) = 0,$$

$$\Delta(E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^m E_{kk} \wedge E_{i, i+1} \wedge E_{i+1, i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

Then by a direct computation,  $(V, \Delta)$  is a 3-Lie coalgebra.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $V$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space with a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$ . Define linear map  $\Delta : V \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$ , by

$$\Delta(e_i) = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_i} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

Then  $(V, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra with rank  $n+1$ .

### 3. N-LIE BIALGEBRA

In this section we discuss  $n$ -Lie bialgebras.

Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $n$ -Lie algebra,  $(V, \rho_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , be representations of  $(L, \mu)$ , and  $f : L \rightarrow V$  be a linear mapping. If  $f$  satisfies that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ ,

$$(15) \quad f(\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_i(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) f(x_k),$$

then  $f$  is called **an 1-cocycle on  $L$  associated to  $(V, \rho_i)$** .

If  $f$  satisfies that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ ,

$$(16) \quad f(\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_i(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) f(x_k),$$

then  $f$  is called **a conformal 1-cocycle on  $L$  associated to  $(V, \rho_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$** .

Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $n$ -Lie algebra, for  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , define linear mapping  $\rho_s^\mu : L^{\wedge n-1} \rightarrow \text{End}(L^{\otimes n})$ ,

$$\rho_s^\mu = \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes ad_\mu \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s},$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes ad_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s}, \\
 \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= \underbrace{(1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)}_{s-1} \otimes ad_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \otimes \underbrace{(1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)}_{n-s} (y_1, \dots, y_n) \\
 &= y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_{s-1} \otimes \mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_s) \otimes y_{s+1} \otimes \dots \otimes y_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $n$ -Lie algebra, and  $\rho_s^\mu$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$  are defined as Eq.(17). Then  $(L^{\otimes n}, \rho_s^\mu)$  for  $1 \leq s \leq n$  are modules of  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in L$ , and  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , by Eq.(17),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[\rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \rho_s^\mu(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})] \\
 &= \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes [ad_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), ad_\mu(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})] \otimes \underbrace{1 \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s} \\
 &= \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} ad_\mu(z_1, \dots, \mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_t), \dots, z_{n-1}) \otimes \underbrace{1 \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s} \\
 &= \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \underbrace{(1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1)}_{s-1} \otimes ad_\mu(z_1, \dots, \mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_t), \dots, z_{n-1}) \otimes \underbrace{(1 \dots \otimes 1)}_{n-s} \\
 &= \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} \rho_s^\mu(z_1, \dots, \mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_t), \dots, z_{n-1}). \\
 &\rho_s^\mu(\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n), x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) \\
 &= \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes ad_\mu(\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n), x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) \otimes \underbrace{1 \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s} \\
 &= \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes \sum_{t=1}^n (-1)^{n-t} ad_\mu(y_1, \dots, \widehat{y_t}, \dots, y_n) ad_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_t) \otimes \underbrace{1 \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s} \\
 &= \sum_{t=1}^n (-1)^{n-t} \rho_s^\mu(y_1, \dots, \widehat{y_t}, \dots, y_n) \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_t).
 \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. □

Now we give the definition of  $n$ -Lie bialgebra.

**Definition 3.2.** *An  $n$ -Lie bialgebra is a triple  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  which satisfies that*

- (1)  $(L, \mu)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra,
- (2)  $(L, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra,
- (3)  $\Delta$  is a conformal quasi-1-cocycle on  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$  associative to  $(L^{\otimes n}, \rho_s^\mu)$  for  $s = 1, \dots, n$ .

From Eq. (16), Definition 3.2 and Theorem 3.1,  $\mu, \Delta$  satisfy that for all,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ ,

$$(18) \quad \Delta\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) \Delta(x_k)$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} (\underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \otimes \text{ad}_\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{n-s}) \Delta(x_k).$$

**Example 3.3.** Let  $L$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space with a basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$ . Define linear multiplication  $\mu : L^{\wedge n} \rightarrow L$ , and  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L^{\wedge n}$ , by

$$\mu(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_1, \quad \mu(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_2, \quad \text{and others are zero,}$$

$$\Delta(x_1) = x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \quad \Delta(x_3) = x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \quad \Delta(x_j) = 0, \quad j \neq 1, 3.$$

Then the triple  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebra.

In fact, by a direct computation,  $(L, \mu)$  and  $(L^*, \Delta^*)$  are  $n$ -Lie algebras. From

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mu(x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \Delta(x_1) = x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \rho_s^\mu(x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_1) &+ \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, x_3, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{ad}_\mu(x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) (x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{n-k} (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{ad}_\mu(x_1, x_3, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \Delta(x_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \text{ad}_\mu(x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) (x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^n (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \text{ad}_\mu(x_1, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}) \\ &= x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta\mu(x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \Delta(x_2) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_{k+1}) &= \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^n \rho_s^\mu(x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_3) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^n \rho_s^\mu(x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}) (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is equal to subset  $\{x_1, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ ,

$$\Delta\mu(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(y_1, \dots, \widehat{y_k}, \dots, y_n) \Delta(y_k) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra.

Now we describe the structure of  $n$ -Lie bialgebras by means of structural constants.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $L$  be an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ ,  $\mu : L^{\wedge n} \rightarrow L$  and  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L^{\wedge n}$  be linear maps, and suppose

$$(19) \quad \mu(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l x_l, \quad \Delta(x_l) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_n},$$

where  $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l, a_l^{j_1 \dots j_n} \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m$ , and for all  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,

$$c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l = \text{sign } c_{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(n)}}^l, \quad a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \text{sign } a_l^{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(n)}}.$$

Then  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra if and only if constants

$$\left\{ c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l, \quad a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} \mid 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n, l \leq m \right\}$$

satisfy that for all  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m$ , and  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq m$ ,

$$(20) \quad \sum_{t=1}^m c_{j_1 \dots j_n}^t c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}t}^k - \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} c_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}j_s}^t c_{j_1 \dots j_{s-1}j_{s+1} \dots j_n t}^k = 0,$$

$$(21) \quad \sum_{t=1}^m a_t^{j_1 \dots j_n} a_k^{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}t} - \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} a_t^{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}j_s} a_k^{j_1 \dots j_{s-1}j_{s+1} \dots j_n t} = 0,$$

$$(22) \quad \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l a_l^{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{r=1}^m a_{i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_{s-1}r j_{s+1} \dots j_n} \left( \sum_{t=1}^s (-1)^{s-t} c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n r}^{j_t} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (-1)^t c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n r}^{j_{s+t}} \right).$$

For the case  $n = 2$ , we have

$$(23) \quad \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 i_2}^l a_l^{j_1 j_2} = \sum_{r=1}^m \left( a_{i_1}^{r j_2} (-c_{i_2 r}^{j_1} + c_{i_2 r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_1}^{j_1 r} (c_{i_2 r}^{j_1} - c_{i_2 r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_2}^{r j_2} (c_{i_1 r}^{j_1} - c_{i_1 r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_2}^{j_1 r} (-c_{i_1 r}^{j_1} + c_{i_1 r}^{j_2}) \right).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3,  $(L, \mu)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra and  $(L, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra if and only if Eq. (20) and Eq. (21) hold, respectively. For all  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m$ ,

$$\Delta \mu(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l \Delta(x_l) = \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_n} c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l a_l^{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{j_n},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_k}}, \dots, x_{i_n}) \Delta(x_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} a_{i_k}^{t_1 \dots t_n} \rho_s^\mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_k}}, \dots, x_{i_n}) (x_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_n}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} a_{i_k}^{t_1 \dots t_n} (x_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_{s-1}} \wedge \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i_k}}, \dots, x_{i_n}, x_{t_s}) \wedge x_{t_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_n}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n t_s}^l a_{i_k}^{t_1 \dots t_n} (x_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_{s-1}} \wedge x_l \wedge x_{t_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq m$ , and  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m$ ,

$$\sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l a_l^{j_1 \dots j_n} = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{r=1}^m a_{i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_{s-1}r j_{s+1} \dots j_n} \left( \sum_{t=1}^s (-1)^{s-t} c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n r}^{j_t} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (-1)^t c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n r}^{j_{s+t}} \right).$$

For the case  $n = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 i_2}^l a_l^{j_1 j_2} &= \sum_{s=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^{2-k} \sum_{r=1}^m a_{i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_{s-1}r j_{s+1} \dots j_2} \left( \sum_{t=1}^s (-1)^{s-t} c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_2 r}^{j_t} + \sum_{t=1}^{2-s} (-1)^t c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_2 r}^{j_{s+t}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^2 (-1)^{2-k} \sum_{r=1}^m \left( a_{i_k}^{r j_2} (c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_2 r}^{j_1} + (-1)^1 c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_2 r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_k}^{j_1 r} (-c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_2 r}^{j_1} + c_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_2 r}^{j_2}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{r=1}^m \left( a_{i_1}^{rj_2} (c_{i_2r}^{j_1} - c_{i_2r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_1}^{j_1r} (-c_{i_2r}^{j_1} + c_{i_2r}^{j_2}) \right) + \sum_{r=1}^m a_{i_2}^{rj_2} ((c_{i_1r}^{j_1} - c_{i_1r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_2}^{j_1r} (-c_{i_1r}^{j_1} + c_{i_1r}^{j_2})) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^m \left( a_{i_1}^{rj_2} (-c_{i_2r}^{j_1} + c_{i_2r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_1}^{j_1r} (c_{i_2r}^{j_1} - c_{i_2r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_2}^{rj_2} (c_{i_1r}^{j_1} - c_{i_1r}^{j_2}) + a_{i_2}^{j_1r} (-c_{i_1r}^{j_1} + c_{i_1r}^{j_2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  be an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra. Then the triple  $(L^*, \Delta^*, \mu^*)$  is an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra, and it is called the dual  $n$ -Lie bialgebra of  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra, by Theorem 2.3,  $(L^*, \Delta^*)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra and  $(L^*, \mu^*)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra, where  $\Delta^* : L^{*\otimes n} \rightarrow L^*$ ,  $\mu^* : L^* \rightarrow L^{*\otimes n}$ .

We need to prove that  $\mu^* : L^* \rightarrow L^{*\otimes n}$  satisfies identity (18), that is, for all  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^*$ ,

$$(24) \quad \mu^*(\Delta^*(f_1, \dots, f_n)) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_k}, \dots, f_n) \mu^*(f_k),$$

where  $\rho_s^{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_k}, \dots, f_n) = \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{s-1} \text{ad}_{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_k}, \dots, f_n) \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1}_{n-s} : A^{*\otimes n} \rightarrow A^{*\otimes n}$ .

For all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \mu^*(\Delta^*(f_1, \dots, f_n)), x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \rangle = \langle \Delta^*(f_1, \dots, f_n), \mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rangle \\
&= \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \Delta \mu(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rangle \\
&= \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^{\mu}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) \Delta(x_k) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\Delta(x_1) = \sum_{1j \dots nj} y_{1j}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_{nj}^1$ , where  $y_{1j}^1, y_{2j}^1, \dots, y_{nj}^1 \in L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \rho_s^{\mu}(x_2, \dots, x_n) \Delta(x_1) \rangle \\
&= \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \rho_s^{\mu}(x_2, \dots, x_n) \left( \sum_{1j \dots nj} y_{1j}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_{nj}^1 \right) \rangle \\
&= \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{1j \dots nj} y_{1j}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{ad}_{\mu}(x_2, \dots, x_n)(y_{sj}^1) \otimes \dots \otimes y_{nj}^1 \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{1j \dots nj} \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, y_{1j}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{ad}_{\mu}(x_2, \dots, x_n)(y_{sj}^1) \otimes \dots \otimes y_{nj}^1 \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{1j \dots nj} \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{ad}_{\mu}^*(x_2, \dots, x_n)(f_s) \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, y_{1j}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_{nj}^1 \rangle \\
&= - (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^n \langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{ad}_{\mu}^*(x_2, \dots, x_n)(f_s) \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \Delta(x_1) \rangle \\
&= - (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^n \langle \Delta^*(f_1, \dots, \text{ad}_{\mu}^*(x_2, \dots, x_n)(f_s), \dots, f_n), x_1 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \langle ad_{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) ad_{\mu}^*(x_2, \dots, x_n)(f_s), x_1 \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-1} \langle ad_{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) ad_{\mu}^*(\widehat{x_1}, x_2, \dots, x_n)(f_s), x_1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n, \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^{\mu}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) \Delta(x_k) \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-k} \langle ad_{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) ad_{\mu}^*(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n)(f_s), x_k \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-k} \langle ad_{\mu}^*(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n)(f_s), ad_{\Delta^*}^*(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) x_k \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-k} \langle f_s, ad_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n) ad_{\Delta^*}^*(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) x_k \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-k} \langle f_s, \mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_n, ad_{\Delta^*}^*(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) x_k) \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-k} (-1)^{n-k} \langle f_s, \mu(x_1, \dots, ad_{\Delta^*}^*(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) x_k, \dots, x_n) \rangle \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \langle \mu^*(f_s), x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes ad_{\Delta^*}^*(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) x_k \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{n-s} \langle \rho_k^{\Delta^*}(f_1, \dots, \widehat{f_s}, \dots, f_n) \mu^*(f_s), x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k \otimes \dots \otimes x_n \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The identity (24) holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** Let  $L$  be an  $m$ -dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ ,  $\mu : L^{\wedge n} \rightarrow L$  and  $\Delta : L \rightarrow L^{\wedge n}$  be linear maps, and suppose

$$\mu(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^m c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l x_l, \quad \Delta(x_l) = \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_n},$$

where  $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l, a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m$ . and constants

$$\left\{ c_{i_1 \dots i_n}^l, a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} \mid 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n, l \leq m \right\}$$

satisfy Eq. (20) and Eq. (21). Then Eq.(22) holds if and only if the following identity holds

$$(25) \quad \sum_{l=1}^m a_l^{i_1 \dots i_n} c_{j_1 \dots j_n}^l = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{r=1}^m c_{j_1 \dots j_{s-r} j_{s+1} \dots j_n}^{i_k} \left( \sum_{t=1}^s (-1)^{s-t} a_{j_t}^{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n r} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-s} (-1)^t a_{j_{s+t}}^{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_n r} \right).$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 directly.  $\square$

**Definition 3.7.** Two  $n$ -Lie bialgebras  $(L_1, \mu_1, \Delta_1)$  and  $(L_2, \mu_2, \Delta_2)$  are called equivalent if there exists linear isomorphism  $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  such that

(1)  $f : (L_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \mu_2)$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra isomorphism, that is, for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in L_1$ ,

$$f \mu_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mu_2(f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)).$$

(2)  $f : (L_1, \Delta_1) \rightarrow (L_2, \Delta_2)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra isomorphism, that is, for all  $x \in L_1$ ,

$$\underbrace{(f \otimes \cdots \otimes f)}_n \Delta_1(x) = \Delta_2(f(x)).$$

For a given  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$ , in order to find all the  $n$ -Lie bialgebra structures on  $L$ , we should find all the  $n$ -Lie coalgebra structures on  $L$  which are compatible with the  $n$ -Lie algebra  $L$ . Although  $n$ -Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta_1)$  is isomorphic to  $n$ -Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta_1)$ , but it may leads to a different  $n$ -Lie bialgebra structures on  $(L, \mu)$ , that is, the  $n$ -Lie bialgebras  $(L, \mu, \Delta_1)$  and  $(L, \mu, \Delta_2)$  may not be equivalent.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie algebra with a basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$ ,  $(L, \Delta_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  be  $n$ -Lie coalgebras, where the multiplication  $\mu : L^{\wedge n} \rightarrow L$ , and  $\Delta_i : L \rightarrow L^{\wedge n}$  are as follows:  $\begin{cases} \mu(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_1, \\ \mu(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_2. \end{cases}$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_1(x_1) = x_1 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \Delta_1(x_2) = x_2 \wedge x_3 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \Delta_1(x_j) = 0, j \geq 3; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_2(x_1) = x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \Delta_2(x_3) = x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \Delta_2(x_j) = 0, j \neq 1, 3; \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_3(x_2) = x_2 \wedge x_1 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \Delta_3(x_3) = x_3 \wedge x_1 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}, \\ \Delta_3(x_j) = 0, j \neq 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Define linear isomorphism  $\varphi_{12}, \varphi_{13}, \varphi_{23} : L \rightarrow L$  by

$$\varphi_{12}(x_1) = x_1, \varphi_{12}(x_2) = x_3, \varphi_{12}(x_3) = x_2, \varphi_{12}(x_i) = x_i, \quad 4 \leq i \leq n+1$$

$$\varphi_{13}(x_1) = x_2, \varphi_{13}(x_2) = x_3, \varphi_{13}(x_3) = x_1, \varphi_{13}(x_i) = x_i, \quad 4 \leq i \leq n+1$$

$$\varphi_{23}(x_1) = x_2, \varphi_{23}(x_2) = x_1, \varphi_{23}(x_3) = x_3, \varphi_{23}(x_i) = x_i, \quad 4 \leq i \leq n+1$$

By a direct computation, we obtain that  $\varphi_{12} : (L, \Delta_1) \rightarrow (L, \Delta_2)$ ,  $\varphi_{13} : (L, \Delta_1) \rightarrow (L, \Delta_3)$ , and  $\varphi_{23} : (L, \Delta_2) \rightarrow (L, \Delta_3)$  are  $n$ -Lie coalgebra isomorphism, respectively. And  $(L, \mu, \Delta_1)$ ,  $(L, \mu, \Delta_2)$ , and  $(L, \mu, \Delta_3)$  are  $n$ -Lie bialgebras.

Let  $\sigma : L \rightarrow L$  be a linear isomorphism, and assume

$$\sigma(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} x_j, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

Then  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$  if and only if  $\sigma$  satisfies that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ \vdots \\ x_{(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ da_{12} & da_{11} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & \cdots & a_{3(n+1)} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} & \cdots & a_{4(n+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{(n+1)1} & a_{(n+1)2} & a_{(n+1)3} & a_{(n+1)4} & \cdots & a_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ \vdots \\ x_{(n+1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

that is,  $a_{1k} = a_{2k} = 0$ ,  $3 \leq k \leq n+1$ ;  $a_{11} = da_{22}$ ,  $a_{12} = da_{21}$ , where  $a_{11}^2 - a_{12}^2 \neq 0$ ,

$$d = \begin{vmatrix} a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+13} & \cdots & a_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix} = 1 \text{ or } -1.$$

In the case

$$\sigma(x_1) = x_2, \sigma(x_2) = x_1, \sigma(x_l) = x_l, \quad \forall 3 \leq l \leq n+1,$$

(that is,  $a_{12} = a_{21} = a_{ll} = 1$ , for  $3 \leq l \leq n+1$ , and others are zero),  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism of  $n$ -Lie coalgebra  $(L, \Delta_2)$  and  $(L, \Delta_3)$ . Therefore,  $n$ -Lie bialgebras  $(L, \mu, \Delta_2)$  and  $(L, \mu, \Delta_3)$  are equivalent.

We will prove that  $n$ -Lie bialgebras  $(L, \mu, \Delta_2)$  and  $(L, \mu, \Delta_1)$  are non-equivalent.

In fact, if  $n$ -Lie bialgebras  $(L, \mu, \Delta_2)$  and  $(L, \mu, \Delta_1)$  are equivalent, then  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(L, \mu)$ , and for all  $k \geq 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(\sigma(x_k)) &= \Delta_2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{kj}x_j\right) = a_{k1}\Delta_2(x_1) + a_{k3}\Delta_2(x_3) \\ &= a_{31}x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1} + a_{33}x_3 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1} \\ &= \sigma^n(\Delta_1(x_3)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that  $a_{k1} = a_{k3} = 0$  for all  $k \geq 3$ , therefore,  $d = \begin{vmatrix} a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n+13} & \cdots & a_{n+1n+1} \end{vmatrix} = 0$ . This

contradicts the invert of the automorphism  $\sigma$ .

Therefore, for all  $n$ -Lie algebra automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $(L, \mu)$ ,  $\sigma : (L, \Delta_1) \rightarrow (L, \Delta_2)$  is not a isomorphism of  $n$ -Lie coalgebra.

#### 4. TWO -DIMENSIONAL EXTENSION OF $n$ -LIE BIALGEBRAS

In this section, we construct  $(n+1)$ -Lie bialgebras from a known  $n$ -Lie bialgebra by two dimensional extensions [3].

Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $n$ -Lie algebra with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ ,  $B : L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric function satisfying  $ad_\mu$ -invariant, that is, for all  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x, z \in L$ ,

$$(26) \quad B(\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x), z) + B(x, \mu(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, z)) = 0.$$

The triple  $(L, \mu, B)$  is called a metric  $n$ -Lie algebra [4].

**Lemma 4.1.** [3] Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie algebra with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ .  $B : L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a non-degenerate bilinear symmetric function satisfying  $ad_\mu$ -invariant. Then  $(\bar{L} = L \oplus \mathbb{F}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_{-1}, \bar{\mu})$  is an  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebra, where  $\bar{\mu}$  is defined as follows, for all  $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ ,

$$(27) \quad \bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_0}_k, \dots, x_{i_n}) = (-1)^{k-1} \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}), \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$(28) \quad \bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{-1}}_k, \dots, x_{i_n}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$(29) \quad \bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, x_{i_{n+1}}) = B(\mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}), x_{i_{n+1}})x_{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} \leq m.$$

$\bar{B} : \bar{L} \otimes \bar{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  defined as: for all  $x, y \in L$ ,

$$(30) \quad \bar{B}(x, y) = B(x, y), \quad \bar{B}(x_0, x_0) = 1, \quad \bar{B}(x_{-1}, x_0) = (-1)^{n-1},$$

$$\bar{B}(x_{-1}, y) = \bar{B}(x_{-1}, x_{-1}) = \bar{B}(x_0, y) = 0,$$

is  $ad_{\bar{\mu}}$ -invariant, and  $\bar{B}$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $B$  is non-degenerate.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $(L, \mu)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie algebra with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Then  $(\bar{L} = L \oplus \mathbb{F}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_{-1}, \bar{\mu})$  is an  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebra, where  $\bar{\mu}$  is defined as for all  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n+1$ ,

$$(31) \quad \bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_0}_k, \dots, x_{i_n}) = (-1)^{k-1} \mu(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}), \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$(32) \quad \bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{x_{-1}}_k, \dots, x_{i_n}) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$(33) \quad \bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, x_{i_{n+1}}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} \leq m.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from a direct computation.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie bialgebra, elements  $x_0, x_{-1}$  be not contained in  $L$ ,  $B : L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a bilinear symmetric function satisfying  $ad_{\mu}$ -invariant. Then  $(\bar{L}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\Delta})$  is an  $(m+2)$ -dimensional  $(n+1)$ -Lie bialgebra, where  $\bar{L} = L \oplus \mathbb{F}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_{-1}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}$  is defined as Eqs. (27), (28) and (29), and  $(\bar{\Delta})^*$  is defined as Eqs. (31), (32) and (33).

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  be a basis of  $\bar{L}$ , and  $\{x^{-1}, x^0, x^1, \dots, x^m\}$  be the dual basis of  $(\bar{L})^*$ . By Lemma 4.2 and Eq. (13), we can suppose

$$(34) \quad \bar{\Delta}(x_0) = \bar{\Delta}(x_{-1}) = 0, \quad \bar{\Delta}(x_k) = \sum_{j_1 \dots j_n} a_k^{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{-1} \wedge x_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{j_n}, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq m.$$

Then we need to prove  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\Delta}$  satisfying Eq. (18). So we dived it into four cases.

(1). Let  $j_1 = -1$ , for all  $1 \leq j_2, \dots, j_{n+1} \leq m$ , by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\bar{\Delta}\bar{\mu}(x_{-1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = \bar{\Delta}(0) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{-1}) + \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{-1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{-1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \dots l_n \leq m} a_{j_k}^{l_1 \dots l_n} x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since for all  $-1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_{n+1} \leq m$ ,

$$\rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{-1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}})(x_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_{n+1}}) \\ = x_{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_{s-1}} \wedge \bar{\mu}(x_{-1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}, x_{t_s}) \wedge x_{t_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{t_{n+1}} = 0,$$

$$\text{We have } \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) = \bar{\Delta}\bar{\mu}(x_{-1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = 0.$$

(2). For  $j_1 = -1$ ,  $j_2 = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j_3, \dots, j_{n+1} \leq m$ , by Eq.(28),  $\bar{\Delta}(x_{-1}) = \bar{\Delta}(x_0) = 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{-1}) + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{-1}, x_{j_3}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_0) \\ &+ \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{-1}, x_0, x_{j_3}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= \Delta \bar{\mu}(x_{-1}, x_0, x_{j_3}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

(3). For  $j_1 = 0$ , and  $1 \leq j_2, \dots, j_{n+1} \leq m$ , thanks to Eq. (27) and Eq.(34),

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\Delta} \bar{\mu}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = \bar{\Delta} \mu(x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = \bar{\Delta} \left( \sum_{t=1}^m c_{j_2 \dots j_{n+1}}^t x_t \right) = \sum_{t=1}^m c_{j_2 \dots j_{n+1}}^t \bar{\Delta}(x_t) \\ &= \sum_{l_1 \dots l_n}^m \sum_{t=1}^m a_t^{l_1 \dots l_n} c_{j_2 \dots j_{n+1}}^t x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n} = x_{-1} \wedge \Delta \mu(x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}). \\ & \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_0) + \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \left( \sum_{l_1 \dots l_n} a_{j_k}^{l_1 \dots l_n} x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=2}^{n+1} \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_n} a_{j_k}^{l_1 \dots l_n} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) (x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}) \\ &= \sum_{s,k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_n} a_{j_k}^{l_1 \dots l_n} (x_{-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\mu}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}, x_{l_{s-1}}) \wedge x_{l_s} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}) \\ &= \sum_{s,k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_n} a_{j_k}^{l_1 \dots l_n} (x_{-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mu(x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}, x_{l_{s-1}}) \wedge x_{l_s} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}) \\ &= x_{-1} \wedge \left( \sum_{s,k=2}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_2}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \Delta(x_{j_k}) \right) \\ &= \bar{\Delta} \bar{\mu}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}), \text{ where } 1 \leq l_1, \dots, l_n \leq m. \text{ Therefore,} \\ & \bar{\Delta} \bar{\mu}(x_0, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}). \end{aligned}$$

(4). For all  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_{n+1} \leq m$ , by Eq.(29),

$$\bar{\Delta} \bar{\mu}(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = B(\mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n}), x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{-1}) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_n} a_{j_k}^{l_1 \dots l_n} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) (x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}), \\ & \text{where } 1 \leq l_1, \dots, l_n \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho_1^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) (x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}) = 0$ , and for  $s \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) (x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}) \\ &= x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\mu}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}, x_{l_{s-1}}) \wedge x_{l_s} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n} \end{aligned}$$

$= B(\mu(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}, x_{l_s})(x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_{s-1}} \wedge x_{-1} \wedge x_{l_{s+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{l_n}) = 0$ ,  
we obtain that

$$\bar{\Delta} \bar{\mu}(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) = \sum_{s=1}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-k} \rho_s^{\bar{\mu}}(x_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{j_k}}, \dots, x_{j_{n+1}}) \bar{\Delta}(x_{j_k}) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $(\bar{L}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\Delta})$  is an  $(n+1)$ -Lie bialgebra. The proof is complete.  $\square$

From Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.3, we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  be an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra, elements  $x_0, x_{-1}$  be not contained in  $L$ ,  $B : L^* \otimes L^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a bilinear symmetric function satisfying  $ad_{\Delta^*}$ -invariant. Then  $(\bar{L}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\Delta})$  is an  $(m+2)$ -dimensional  $(n+1)$ -Lie bialgebra, where  $\bar{L} = L \oplus \mathbb{F}x_0 \oplus \mathbb{F}x_{-1}$ ,  $(\bar{L}, \bar{\mu})$  is an  $(m+2)$ -dimensional  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebra in Lemma 4.2, and  $((\bar{L})^*, \bar{\Delta}^*)$  is an  $(m+2)$ -dimensional  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebra in Lemma 4.1.*

In the case  $n = 2$ , let  $(L, \mu, \Delta)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional Lie bialgebra with a basis  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ ,  $B : L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be an  $ad_{\mu}$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form with  $B(x_i, x_j) = b_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ . Suppose

$$\mu(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m c_{ij}^k x_k, \Delta(x_k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m} a_k^{i_1 i_2} x_{i_1} \wedge x_{i_2}, c_{ij}^k, a_k^{i_1 i_2} \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Then 3-Lie algebra  $(\bar{L}, \bar{\mu})$  in Lemma 4.1 and  $(\bar{L}^*, \bar{\Delta}^*)$  in Lemma 4.2 with the following multiplication

$$\bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_0) = \sum_{l=-1}^m c_{i_1 i_2 0}^l x_l = \mu(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) = \sum_{t=1}^m c_{i_1 i_2}^t x_t, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$\bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{-1}) = \sum_{l=-1}^m c_{i_1 i_2 (-1)}^l x_l = 0, \quad 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$\bar{\mu}(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}) = \sum_{l=-1}^m c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^l x_l = B(\mu(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}), x_{i_3}) x_{-1} = \sum_{t=1}^m c_{i_1 i_2}^t b_{ti_3} x_{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} \leq m.$$

And for  $-1 \leq l, j_1, j_2 \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq i_1, i_2, t \leq m$ ,

$$c_{j_1 j_2 0}^{-1} = c_{j_1 j_2 0}^0 = 0, \quad c_{i_1 i_2 0}^t = c_{i_1 i_2}^t, \quad c_{j_1 j_2 (-1)}^l = 0,$$

$$c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^l = 0, \quad 0 \leq l \leq m, \quad c_{i_1 i_2 i_3}^{-1} = \sum_{t=1}^m c_{i_1 i_2}^t b_{ti_3}.$$

$$\bar{\Delta}^*(x^{i_1}, x^{i_2}, x^{-1}) = \sum_{l=-1}^m a_l^{i_1 i_2 (-1)} x^l = \Delta^*(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) = \sum_{t=1}^m a_t^{i_1 i_2} x^t, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$\bar{\Delta}^*(x^{i_1}, x^{i_2}, x^0) = \sum_{l=-1}^m a_l^{i_1 i_2 0} x^l = 0, \quad 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m;$$

$$\bar{\Delta}^*(x^{i_1}, x^{i_2}, x^{i_3}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{n+1} \leq m.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Delta}(x_k) &= \sum_{-1 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \leq m} a_k^{j_1 j_2 j_3} x_{j_1} \otimes x_{j_2} \otimes x_{j_3} = \sum_{(-1)^{i_1 i_2}} a_k^{i_1 i_2} x_{-1} \otimes x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2}, \quad 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq m, \\ \bar{\Delta}(x_0) &= 0, \bar{\Delta}(x_{-1}) = \sum_{-1 \leq j_1, j_2, j_3 \leq m} a_{-1}^{j_1 j_2 j_3} x_{j_1} \otimes x_{j_2} \otimes x_{j_3} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain for all  $-1 \leq l, j_1, j_2, j_3 \leq m, 1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3, t \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}a_{-1}^{j_1 j_2 j_3} &= a_0^{j_1 j_2 j_3} = 0, \quad a_l^{j_1 j_2 0} = a_0^{j_1 j_2 (-1)} = 0, \\ a_t^{j_1 j_2 (-1)} &= a_t^{j_1 j_2}, \quad a_t^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = 0, \quad 1 \leq t \leq m.\end{aligned}$$

### 5. STRUCTURES OF $n$ -LIE BIALGEBRA $(A_n, \mu, \Delta)$

Ling in [9] proved that there exists only one simple  $n$ -Lie algebra over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  of complex numbers, that is  $(n+1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie algebra, is denoted by  $(A_n, \mu)$ , or simply is denoted by  $A_n$ . In this section, we study bialgebra structures on the simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(A_n, \mu)$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  of complex numbers. First we give the classification theorem given in paper [5].

**Lemma 5.1.** [5] *Let  $A$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -Lie algebra and  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}$  be a basis of  $A$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). Then one and only one of the following possibilities holds up to isomorphisms:*

- (a) *If  $\dim A^1 = 0$ , then  $A$  is an abelian  $n$ -Lie algebra.*
- (b) *If  $\dim A^1 = 1$  and let  $A^1 = \mathbb{F}e_1$ , then in the case  $A^1 \subseteq Z(A)$ ,*
  - (b<sub>1</sub>).  $\mu(e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}) = e_1$ .
  - In the case that  $A^1$  is not contained in  $Z(A)$ ,*
  - (b<sub>2</sub>).  $\mu(e_1, \dots, e_n) = e_1$ .
- (c) *If  $\dim A^1 = 2$  and let  $A^1 = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2$ , then*
  - (c<sub>1</sub>).  $\mu(e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}) = e_1, [e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{n+1}] = e_2$ ;
  - (c<sub>2</sub>).  $\mu(e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}) = \alpha e_1 + e_2, [e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{n+1}] = e_2$ ;
  - (c<sub>3</sub>).  $\mu(e_1, e_3, \dots, e_{n+1}) = e_1, [e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}] = e_2$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ .
- (d) *If  $\dim A^1 = r, 3 \leq r \leq n+1$ , let  $A^1 = \mathbb{F}e_1 + \mathbb{F}e_2 + \dots + \mathbb{F}e_r$ . Then*
  - (d<sub>r</sub>).  $\mu(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}) = e_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ , where symbol  $\hat{e}_i$  means that  $e_i$  is omitted.
  - In the case  $r = n+1$ ,  $A$  is the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional simple  $n$ -Lie algebra, which is denoted by  $(A_n, \mu)$ , or simply  $A_n$ .*

Let  $\Delta : A \rightarrow \underbrace{A \wedge \dots \wedge A}_n$  be a linear mapping, set

$$\Delta(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{e_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Suppose that  $A^*$  is the dual space of  $A$ , and  $e^1, \dots, e^{n+1}$  is the dual basis of  $e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}$ , that is,  $\langle e^i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$ , and  $\Delta^* : A^{*\otimes n} \rightarrow A^*$  is the dual mapping of  $\Delta$ . Then by Eq.(13) we have

$$\Delta^*(e^1, \dots, \widehat{e^j}, \dots, e^{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} e^i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+1.$$

Denote

$$\bar{e}^j = (-1)^{n+j+1} \Delta^*(e^1, \dots, \widehat{e^j}, \dots, e^{n+1}) = (-1)^{n+j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} e^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{ij} e^i,$$

that is,  $b_{ij} = (-1)^{n+j+1} a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$ . We obtain a matrix  $B = (b_{ij})_{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$ , and

$$(\bar{e}^1, \dots, \bar{e}^{n+1}) = (e^1, \dots, e^{n+1})B.$$

**Lemma 5.2.**  *$(A, \Delta)$  is an  $n$ -Lie coalgebra with  $R(\Delta) \geq 3$  if and only if  $B = (b_{ij})$  is a symmetric matrix with rank  $R(B) \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Theorem 3 in paper [6], and Theorem 2.3 directly.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *The triple  $(A, \mu, \Delta)$  (or the pair  $(A_n, \Delta)$ ) is an  $n$ -Lie bialgebra if and only if  $R(\Delta) = 0$  ( $(A^*, \Delta^*)$  is abelian), or  $R(\Delta) = 2$  and  $(A^*, \Delta^*)$  is the  $n$ -Lie algebra of type  $(c_3)$  in Lemma 5.1*

*Proof.* Since  $A_n$  is the simple  $n$ -Lie algebra, by Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mu(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) &= \Delta(x_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_{1k} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\ &= a_{11} x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} a_{1k} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\ &= a_{11} x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{1k+1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} a_{k+1r} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) (a_{k+11} x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a_{k+1r} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} a_{k+11} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a_{k+1r} ad_\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1})(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{s=2}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=2}^{n+1} a_{k+1r} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} a_{k+11} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k+1k+1} x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Delta\mu(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_2, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_{k+1})$ , if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = \sum_{k=2}^n a_{kk}, \\ a_{1k} = (-1)^{k+1-1} a_{k1}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n+1. \end{cases}$$

For all  $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ , from

$$\Delta\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \Delta(x_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_{ik} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_k) \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_{k+1}) \\
& = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} a_{kr} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} a_{k+1r} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} a_{kr} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=i}^{n+1} a_{kr} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} a_{k+1r} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \left( \sum_{r=i}^{n+1} a_{k+1r} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_r} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \right) \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{kk} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ki} (-1)^{i-k-1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + 0 \\
& + \sum_{k=i}^n a_{k+1k+1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{k+i} a_{k+1i} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_{k+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{kk} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=i}^n a_{k+1k+1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{ki} (-1)^{i-k-1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} a_{ki} (-1)^{k+i+1} x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{kk} x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1} + \sum_{k=i}^n a_{k+1,k+1} x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ki} (-1)^{k-i-1} x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta \mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_k) \\
&+ \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{k=i}^n (-1)^{n-k} \rho_s^\mu(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, \widehat{x_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \Delta(x_{k+1}), \text{ if and only if} \\
&a_{ii} = \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{n+1} a_{kk}, \quad a_{ik} = (-1)^{k-i-1} a_{ki}, \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq i \leq n+1, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n+1.
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing above discussion, we obtain that  $\mu, \Delta$  satisfy Eq.(18) if and only if

$$(35) \quad a_{kk} = 0, \quad a_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j+1} a_{ji}, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n+1, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n+1.$$

Therefore, for all  $1 \leq k \leq n+1, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n+1,$

$$(36) \quad b_{kk} = (-1)^{n+k+1} a_{kk} = 0, \quad b_{ij} = (-1)^{n+j+1} a_{ij} = (-1)^{n+j+1} (-1)^{i+j+1} a_{ji} = -b_{ji},$$

that is,  $B$  is a skew-symmetrix matrix. Then  $R(B) \neq 1$ , and from Lemma 5.2,  $R(B) \leq 2$ . This shows that there do not exist  $n$ -Lie bialgebra structures on the simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_n$  with  $R(\Delta) \geq 3$  and  $R(\Delta) = 1$ .

By a direct computation, the only  $n$ -Lie bialgebra structures on the simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_n$  are  $R(\Delta) = 0$ , or  $R(\Delta) = 2$ , and in this case, the  $n$ -Lie algebra  $(A^*, \Delta^*)$  is the type  $(c_3)$ .

□

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Professor Chengming Bai for many valuable suggestions. The first author was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation (11371245) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (A2014201006).

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bai, L. Guo, Y Sheng, Bialgebra , the classical Yang-Baxter equation and Manin triples for 3-Lie algebras, [arXiv:1604.05996v1](#) [math-ph]. [1](#), [2](#)
- [2] R. Bai, Y. Cheng, J. Li, 3-Lie bialgebras, *Acta Math. Scientia*, 2014, **34B** (2):513C522. [2](#)
- [3] R. Bai, Y. Wu, J. Li and H. Zhou, Constructing  $(n+1)$ -Lie algebras from  $n$ -Lie algebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 2012, 45, 475206 (10pp). [13](#)
- [4] R. Bai, W. Wu, Z. LI, Some Results on Metric  $n$ -Lie Algebras, *Acta Math. Sinica*, English Series, 2012, **28** (6): 1209-1220. [13](#)
- [5] R. Bai, G. Song, Y. Zhang, On classification of  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Front. Math. China*, 2011, **6** (4):5 81-606. [17](#)
- [6] V.T. Filippov,  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, **26** (1985): 126-140. [2](#), [18](#)
- [7] G. Gratzner, Universal Algebra, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. 1968 [1](#)
- [8] S.A. Joni, G.C. Rota, Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **61** (1979), 93-139. [1](#)
- [9] W. Ling, On the structure of  $n$ -Lie algebras, Dissertation, University-GHS-Siegen, Siegn, 1993. [17](#)
- [10] J. Loday and B. Vallette, Algebraic Operads, Springer, 2012. [1](#)

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* bairuipu@hbu.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* hubguoweimei@163.com

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* llxhebeidaxue@163.com

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* zhycn0913@163.com